

CSC474 - Homework 3 Written Questions (Part 1)*

Assigned October 4th, 2022; Due 11:59pm on Tuesday October 18th, 2022

45 points

Prof. William Enck

1 Part 1: Written Questions

1.1 *PayMe!* {10 points}

Hoping to become the next dotcom millionaire, Bob decides to create an online money payment service similar to PayPal. His service, *PayMe!*, allows users to transfer money to other users of the system.

To ensure that no fraudulent activity takes places, the *PayMe!* service stores the public key of each user. (You should assume that the sharing of the public key is secure; that is, the server has each user's correct public key.)

If Alice (“*A*”) wishes to give X dollars to Bob (“*B*”), she sends the following message to the *PayMe!* service (“*S*”):

$$A \rightarrow S : A, B, X, n, \text{Sig}(A^-, [X|n])$$

where n is a nonce, A^- is Alice's private key, and $\text{Sig}(K^-, M)$ denotes a digital signature over M computed using the private key K^- .

- (a) {5 points} What is a nonce, and why does Bob include one in his protocol? Does it prevent any type of attack?
- (b) {5 points} Explain how an active adversary can exploit a weakness in the *PayMe!* protocol to steal money from an honest user, Alice.

1.2 *PompousPass* {20 points}

Bob believes he has come up with a simple way of performing authentication. His system, *PompousPass*TM, uses RSA signatures. Let Id_x and Pw_x respectively be the username and password for user x , and (x^+, x^-) be the public/private keypair associated with user x . Assume that the

*Last revised on October 2, 2022.

server S knows the user's username (Id_x), password (Pw_x), and his public key (x^+). To authenticate to the server, x sends:

$$x \rightarrow S : Id_x, r, Sig(x^-, [Id_x|Pw_x|r])$$

where r is a nonce.

The server should only authenticate the user iff (1) the transmitted password matches the password stored in the server's database and (2) the nonce is fresh.

- (a) {5 points} Describe two attacks on this protocol. You should assume the attacker cannot get access to the password database.
- (b) {10 points} Fix the protocol to defend against these attacks.
- (c) {5 points} In practice, public-key cryptography is often used to distribute session keys, which are then used with symmetric algorithms. Why is this approach preferred over using solely public-key operations? (1-2 sentences)

1.3 Kerberos-ish {15 points}

Dissatisfied with Kerberos (he's difficult to please), Bob proposes a simpler protocol called WoofWoofTM that eliminates the use of the TGS. Let ID_C and IP_C be the respective ID and IP address of the client, ID_V be the ID of the service that the client wishes to access, K_C be a pre-shared key between the KDC and client, K_V be a pre-shared key between the KDC and service V , K_{C-V} be a temporary key generated by the KDC during the course of the protocol, $E(K_X, M)$ be the encryption of M using key K_X , and *lifetime* be the lifetime of a ticket. Finally, let $A \rightarrow B : M$ denote the transmission of message M from A to B .

Bob's protocol works as follows:

Client \rightarrow KDC : ID_C, ID_V
 KDC \rightarrow client : $E(K_C, [K_{C-V}|lifetime|ticket_V])$, where $ticket_V = E(K_V, [K_{C-V}|ID_C|IP_C|lifetime])$
 Client \rightarrow service V : $ticket_V, E(K_{C-V}, [ID_C|IP_C|t])$, where t is the current time
 Service $V \rightarrow$ client : $E(K_{C-V}, [t + 1])$

- (a) {5 points} Does WoofWoofTM achieve client authentication? How (if yes) or why not (if no)?
- (b) {5 points} Does WoofWoofTM achieve server authentication? How (if yes) or why not (if no)?
- (c) {5 points} By removing the TGS, what key functional goal of Kerberos does WoofWoofTM **not** achieve?