

# CSC474 Fall 2022 - Homework 1 Written Question\*

Prof. William Enck

## 1 Confidentiality and Authenticity {10 points}

Prof. Pedantic designed a “secure” communication protocol for two parties (Alice and Bob) that have preshared secrets  $k_1$  (the confidentiality key) and  $k_2$  (the authenticity key).

Prof. Pedantic doesn’t believe in traditional MACs, so he constructs his protocol as follows: to send a message  $m$ , Alice (A) sends to Bob (B) the following:

$$A \rightarrow B : \langle r, \\ \text{iv}_1, \\ \text{iv}_2, \\ \text{RC4}_{H(\text{iv}_1|k_1)}(r, m), \\ \text{RC4}_{H(\text{iv}_2|k_2)}(r, m) \rangle$$

where  $r$  is a nonce (to prevent replay attacks),  $\text{iv}_1$  and  $\text{iv}_2$  are fresh initialization vectors (IVs),  $\text{RC4}_k(r, m)$  denotes the encryption of message  $m$  using RC4 (a stream cipher) with key  $k$  and nonce  $r$ , and  $H(x|y)$  is the SHA-256 hash of  $x$  concatenated with  $y$ . (Note that RC4 does not natively accept an IV; hence, Prof. Pedantic embeds the IV into the effective encryption/decryption key using the hash function.)

The professor claims that the protocol achieves *confidentiality* and *authenticity*, **as defined as follows**:

- *confidentiality*: an eavesdropper that observes a run of the protocol cannot learn the message  $m$  unless it knows the confidentiality key  $k_1$  (you should also assume  $k_2$  is not known to the eavesdropper); and
- *authenticity*: if Bob receives  $\langle r, \text{iv}_1, \text{iv}_2, \text{RC4}_{H(\text{iv}_1|k_1)}(r, m), \text{RC4}_{H(\text{iv}_2|k_2)}(r, m) \rangle$  and  $r$  is a fresh nonce and the decryption of  $\text{RC4}_{H(\text{iv}_1|k_1)}(r, m)$  equals the decryption of  $\text{RC4}_{H(\text{iv}_2|k_2)}(r, m)$  (using the corresponding IVs and keys), then message  $m$  must have been transmitted by a party that knows both the confidentiality and authenticity keys (i.e.,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ).

The professor’s intention is that Bob obtains  $m$  by decrypting  $\text{RC4}_{H(\text{iv}_1|k_1)}(r, m)$  using key  $k_1$  and  $\text{iv}_1$ . Further, Bob performs an authenticity check by ensuring that the decrypted message matches

---

\*Last revised on August 29, 2022.

the decryption of  $\text{RC4}_{H(\text{iv}_2|k_2)}(r, m)$  (via key  $k_2$  and IV  $\text{iv}_2$ ). He reasons that only a sender that knows *both*  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  can cause the decryptions to match.

Does Prof. Pedantic's scheme achieve confidentiality and/or authenticity, as defined above? Briefly argue why or why not, for both confidentiality and authenticity. **Consider these two properties *independently of one another*.** That is, when considering authenticity, assume the adversary knows the message and is attempting to forge a message. Also, assume that  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are random 128-bit keys that have been securely shared apriori between Alice and Bob, that  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , and that the two IVs are also fresh.